1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	LEROY R. WALLS, III, ) Case No. 2:12-cv-01629-JCM-PAL
8	Plaintiff, )
9	vs. $\frac{\text{REPORT OF FINDINGS AND}}{\text{RECOMMENDATION}}$
10	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE ) DEPARTMENT, )
11	DEFARTMENT,
12	Defendant.
13	)
14	Plaintiff Leroy R. Walls, III, is proceeding in this action pro se. Because the court granted
15	Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis, the court screened the pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)
16	See Order (Dkt. #2). The undersigned found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a claim and
17	allowed Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. Id. Plaintiff has not filed an amended
18	complaint or requested an extension of time or taken any other action to prosecute this case. Plaintiff
19	was warned that failure to comply with the Screening Order would result in a recommendation to the
20	district judge that this case be dismissed.
21	Accordingly,
22	IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge that
23	the Complaint (Dkt. #3) be <b>DISMISSED.</b>
24	Dated this 30th day of April, 2013.
25	
26	Jugan a. Teen
27	PEGGY A. LEEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	///

\_\_

## **NOTICE**

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.